

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,712	12/28/2000	James E. Parker	VTECH-48514	9398
7590 08/30/2004			EXAMINER	
I. Morley Drucker FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<sup>1</sup> 99	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/752,712	PARKER, JAMES E.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel P Siefke	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s)·					
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for	5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: NoNE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-16, 18,20,21, and 23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
		r				
C Datest and Trademark Office						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art discloses each and every limitation in claims 15-16, 18,20,21 and 23. The Applicant argues, "the end portions 122 of each test strip do not extend into the liquid sample space 130 of the interior sample chamber 38 when the cap is placed on the container." It is noted that the applicant recited limitations of the manner in which the "cap is placed on the container" is used. Such limitations are not attributed patentable weight in claims directed to a device. Therefore, claim 15 only requires that a wick mounted to said cap and extending into said liquid sample space of said interior sample chamber when said cap is on said container. Wong discloses a wick that extends into a sample when the cap is on the container see fig. 8 specifically. With respect to the arguments surround the 103 rejection including Forsberg, it is known in the art of test strips that annually bridge pieces are used to faciliate in liquid transport of th liquid sample to the test strip withou flooding the test strip.

LYLE A. ALEXANDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER